# **Precision Electroweak Physics and QCD at an EIC**



M.J. Ramsey-Musolf Wisconsin-Madison



## NPAC

Theoretical Nuclear, Particle, Astrophysics & Cosmology

http://www.physics.wisc.edu/groups/particle-theory/

LBL, December 2008

# **Questions**

- What are the opportunities for probing the "new Standard Model" and novel aspects of nucleon structure with electroweak processes at an EIC?
- What EIC measurements are likely to be relevant after a decade of LHC operations and after completion of the Jefferson Lab electroweak program?
- How might a prospective EIC electroweak program complement or shed light on other key studies of neutrino properties and fundamental symmetries in nuclear physics?

# <u>Outline</u>

- Lepton flavor violation:  $e^+A K \tau^- + A$
- Neutral Current Processes: PV DIS & PV Moller

# <u>Outline</u>

- Lepton flavor violation:  $e^+A$  K  $\tau^- + A$
- Neutral Current Processes: PV DIS & PV Moller
- Charged Current Processes:  $e^{-}+A \ltimes E_{T} + j$

Disclaimer: some ideas worked out in detail; others need more research

#### Lepton Number & Flavor Violation

Uncovering the flavor structure of the new SM and its relationship with the origin of neutrino mass is an important task. The observation of charged lepton flavor violation would be a major discovery in its own right.

- LNV & Neutrino Mass
- $0\nu\beta\beta$  Mechanism Problem
- CLFV as a Probe
- τ K e Conversion at EIC ?

### 0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ 

Dirac

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$ Majorana

#### 0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ 

Dirac

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$ Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Majorana

#### *m*<sup>*EFF*</sup> & *neutrino spectrum*





#### 0vββ-Decay: LNV? Mass Term?

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ 

Dirac

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$ Majorana



 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
*Majorana*



 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
  
Majorana





 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ Dirac

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$ Majorana



 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$ Dirac

 $\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$ Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c. \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Dirac
$$Majorana$$

$$\beta-decay$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
  
Majorana



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
*Majorana*



Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
*Majorana*

Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Majorana

Mechanism: does light  $v_M$  exchange dominate ?

Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\left\langle m_{v}\right\rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left|U_{ek}\right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$

$$e^{-} e^{-} e^{-}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Majorana

Mechanism: does light  $v_M$  exchange dominate ?

$$\frac{A_{\rm heavy}}{A_{\rm light}} \sim \frac{M_W^2 k_{\rm eff}^2}{\Lambda^5 m_{\rm v}^{\rm eff}}$$

O(1) for  $\Lambda \sim TeV$ 

Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\left\langle m_{v}\right\rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left|U_{ek}\right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Majorana

Mechanism: does light  $v_M$  exchange dominate ?

$$\frac{A_{\rm heavy}}{A_{\rm light}} \sim \frac{M_W^2 k_{\rm eff}^2}{\Lambda^5 m_{\rm v}^{\rm eff}}$$

O(1) for  $\Lambda \sim TeV$ 

How to calc effects reliably ? How to disentangle H & L ? Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{\nu} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} |U_{ek}|^2 m_k e^{2i\delta}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = y \bar{L} \tilde{H} v_R + h.c.$$
  
Dirac

$$\mathcal{L}_{mass} = \frac{y}{\Lambda} \bar{L}^c \tilde{H} \tilde{H}^T L$$
Majorana

Mechanism: does light  $v_M$  exchange dominate ?

$$\frac{A_{\rm heavy}}{A_{\rm light}} \sim \frac{M_W^2 k_{\rm eff}^2}{\Lambda^5 m_{\rm v}^{\rm eff}}$$

O(1) for  $\Lambda \sim TeV$ 

How to calc effects reliably ? How to disentangle H & L ? Theory Challenge: matrix elements + mechanism

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle^{EFF} = \sum_{k} \left| U_{ek} \right|^{2} m_{k} e^{2i\delta}$$























#### Sorting out the mechanism

- Models w/ Majorana masses (LNV) typically also contain CLFV interactions
   RPV SUSY, LRSM, GUTs (w/ LQ's)
- If the LNV process of 0vββ arises from TeV scale particle exchange, one expects signatures in CLFV processes
- τ K e Conversion at EIC could be one probe












Raidal, Santamaria;

Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-





Raidal, Santamaria;

Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-





Raidal, Santamaria;

Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-





Raidal, Santamaria;

Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-





Raidal, Santamaria;

Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-





Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



*LRSM* 



Raidal, Santamaria;



**RPV SUSY** 



*LRSM* 



Raidal, Santamaria;



RPV SUSY



LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;





LRSM



Raidal, Santamaria;





Low scale LFV:  $R \sim O(1)$  GUT scale LFV:  $R \sim O(\alpha)$ 

Raidal, Santamaria; Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-



$$B_{\tau K e \gamma} = 48 \pi^3 \alpha |A_{\tau e}^2|^2$$
$$|A_{\tau e}^2|^2 < 10^{-8}$$
$$If |A_{\tau e}^2|^2 \sim |A_{\tau e}^1|^2$$

EIC:  $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$  fb

Log or tree-level enhancement:  $|A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}/|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |\ln m_{e}/1 \text{ TeV}|^{2} \sim 100$ Need ~ 1000 fb

Raidal, Santamaria; Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-



$$B_{\tau K e \gamma} = 48 \pi^{3} \alpha |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2}$$

$$|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} < 10^{-8} \qquad M1 \text{ operator}$$

$$If |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}$$
EIC:  $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$  fb

Log or tree-level enhancement:  $|A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}/|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |\ln m_{e}/1 \text{ TeV}|^{2} \sim 100$ Need ~ 1000 fb

Raidal, Santamaria; Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-



$$B_{\tau K e \gamma} = 48 \pi^{3} \alpha |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2}$$

$$|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} < 10^{-8} \qquad M1 \text{ operator}$$

$$|f |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2} \qquad Penguin op$$
EIC:  $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$  fb

Log or tree-level enhancement:  $|A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2} / |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |\ln m_{e} / 1 \text{ TeV}|^{2} \sim 100$ 

Need ~ 1000 fb

Raidal, Santamaria; Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-



$$B_{\tau K e \gamma} = 48 \pi^{3} \alpha |A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2}$$

$$|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} < 10^{-8}$$
If  $|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}$ 
Penguin op
EIC:  $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$  fb

Log or tree-level enhancement:  $|A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}/|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |\ln m_{e}/1 \text{ TeV}|^{2} \sim 100$ 

Need ~ 1000 fb

Raidal, Santamaria; Cirigliano, Kurylov, R-



$$B_{\tau K e \gamma} = 48 \pi^3 \alpha |A_{\tau e}^2|^2$$
$$|A_{\tau e}^2|^2 < 10^{-8}$$
$$If |A_{\tau e}^2|^2 \sim |A_{\tau e}^1|^2$$

EIC:  $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$  fb

Log or tree-level enhancement:  $|A_{\tau e}^{1}|^{2}/|A_{\tau e}^{2}|^{2} \sim |\ln m_{e}/1 \text{ TeV}|^{2} \sim 100$ Need ~ 1000 fb



**Doubly Charged Scalars** 

 $\mu Ke(\gamma) \qquad \tau Ke(\gamma)$   $h_{\mu e} h_{ee} \qquad h_{ee} h_{e\tau}$   $+ h_{\mu\mu} h_{\mu e} \qquad + h_{e\mu} h_{\mu\tau}$   $+ h_{\mu\tau} h_{\tau e} \qquad + h_{e\tau} h_{\tau\tau}$ 



#### **Doubly Charged Scalars**





### Doubly Charged Scalars









### **Doubly Charged Scalars**



Leptoquark Exchange: Like RPV SUSY /w  $\lambda^{\prime}$ 









 $|\lambda_{lq}|^2 < 10^{-4} (M_{LQ} / 100 \text{ GeV})^2$ 



 $|\lambda_{Iq}|^2 < 10^{-4} (M_{LQ} / 100 \text{ GeV})^2$ 

### $|\lambda_{lg}|^2 < 10^{-4} (M_{LQ} / 100 \text{ GeV})^2 \text{ HERA}$

 $|\lambda_{Iq}|^2 < 2 \text{ x } 10^{-2} \text{ (M}_{LQ} \text{ / } 100 \text{ GeV})^2$ 



Kanemura et al (2005)

### LFV with $\tau$ leptons: recent theory

### Neutral Current Probes: PV

- Basics of PV electron scattering
- Standard Model: What we know
- New physics ? SUSY as illustration
- Probing QCD

Parity-Violating electron scattering











Small QCD uncertainties (Marciano & Sirlin; Erler & R-M)


### **PV Electron Scattering**



### **PV Electron Scattering**



## Effective PV e-q interaction & Q<sub>W</sub>

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

## Effective PV e-q interaction & Q<sub>W</sub>

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

 $L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{\gamma}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$ 

Effective PV e-q interaction & Q<sub>W</sub>

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

Weak Charge:  $N_u C_{1u} + N_d C_{1d}$ Proton:  $Q_W^P = 2 C_{1u} + C_{1d} = 1-4 \sin^2\theta_W \sim 0.1$ Electron:  $Q_W^e = C_{1e} = -1+4 \sin^2\theta_W \sim -0.1$ 

Tree Level



Tree Level

 $Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$ 

### **Radiative Corrections**

Tree Level

$$Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$$

### **Radiative Corrections**

$$Q_W^f = \rho_{PV} \left( 2I_3^f - 4Q_f \kappa_{PV} \sin^2 \theta_W \right) + \lambda_f$$

Tree Level

$$Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$$

### **Radiative Corrections**

$$Q_W^f = \rho_{PV} \left( 2I_3^f - 4Q_f \kappa_{PV} \sin^2 \theta_W \right) + \lambda_f$$

Normalization

Scale-dependent effective weak mixing

Flavor-independent

Tree Level

$$Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$$

**Radiative Corrections** 

Flavor-dependent

Normalization

Scale-dependent effective weak mixing

Flavor-independent

 $Q_W^f = \rho_{PV} \left( 2I_3^f - 4Q_f \kappa_{PV} \sin^2 \theta_W \right) + \lambda_f$ 

Tree Level

$$Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$$

**Radiative Corrections** 

Flavor-dependent

Constrained by Z-pole precision observables

Scale-dependent effective weak mixing

Flavor-independent

 $Q_W^f = \rho_{PV} \left( 2I_3^f - 4Q_f \kappa_{PV} \sin^2 \theta_W \right) + \lambda_f$ 

 $Q_W^f = \rho_{PV} \left( 2I_3^f - 4Q_f \kappa_{PV} \sin^2 \theta_W \right) + \lambda_f$ 

Tree Level

$$Q_W^f = g_V^f g_A^e$$

**Radiative Corrections** 

Flavor-dependent

Constrained by Z-pole precision observables

Large logs in  $\kappa$ : Sum to all orders with running sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_W$  & RGE

Flavor-indeper













# **PVES & New Physics**



**Radiative Corrections** 



**Doubly Charged Scalars** 



# **PVES & New Physics**



# **PVES & New Physics**





























### **Effective PV e-q interaction & PVDIS**

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

Weak Charge:  $N_u C_{1u} + N_d C_{1d}$ Proton:  $Q_W^P = 2 C_{1u} + C_{1d} = 1-4 \sin^2 \theta_W \sim 0.1$ Electron:  $Q_W^e = C_{1e} = -1+4 \sin^2 \theta_W \sim -0.1$ 

### **Effective PV e-q interaction & PVDIS**

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

PV DIS eD asymmetry: leading twist

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \right]$$

$$Y = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2 - y^2 R / (1 + R)} \qquad R(x, q^2) = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R} \approx 0.2$$
### **Effective PV e-q interaction & PVDIS**

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

PV DIS eD asymmetry: leading twist

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \right]$$

$$Y = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2 - y^2 R / (1 + R)} \qquad R(x, q^2) = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R} \approx 0.2$$

### Model Independent Constraints



P. Reimer, X. Zheng

# Comparing A<sub>d</sub><sup>DIS</sup> and Q<sub>w</sub><sup>p,e</sup>





**RPV** 











*Electroweak test: e-q couplings* &  $sin^2\theta_W$ 



*Electroweak test:* e-q *couplings* &  $sin^2\theta_W$ 



Electroweak test: e-q couplings &  $sin^2\theta_W$ 



Electroweak test: e-q couplings &  $sin^2\theta_W$ 

### d(x)/u(x): large x

### **PVDIS & QCD**

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

PV DIS eD asymmetry: leading twist

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \right]$$

$$Y = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2 - y^2 R / (1 + R)} \qquad R(x, q^2) = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R} \approx 0.2$$

### **PVDIS & QCD**

Low energy effective PV eq interaction

$$L_{PV}^{eq} = \frac{G_{\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q} \left[ C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q \right]$$

PV DIS eD asymmetry: leading twist

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \right]$$

Higher Twist (J Lab)
 CSV (J Lab, EIC)
 d/u (J Lab, EIC)

$$Y = \frac{1 - (1 - y)^2}{1 + (1 - y)^2 - y^2 R / (1 + R)} \qquad R(x, q^2) = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R} \approx 0.2$$



$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \end{bmatrix} \qquad u^p(x) = d^n(x)?$$
$$d^p(x) = u^n(x)?$$

Adapted from K. Kumar

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \end{bmatrix} \qquad u^p(x) = d^n(x)?$$
$$d^p(x) = u^n(x)?$$

Direct observation of parton-level CSV would be very exciting!
Important implications for high energy collider pdfs
Could explain significant portion of the NuTeV anomaly

 $\delta u(x) = u^{p}(x) - d^{n}(x)$  $\delta d(x) = d^{p}(x) - u^{n}(x)$ 

Adapted from K. Kumar

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \end{bmatrix}$$

Direct observation of parton-level CSV would be very exciting!
Important implications for high energy collider pdfs
Could explain significant portion of the NuTeV anomaly

 $\delta u(x) = u^{p}(x) - d^{n}(x)$  $\delta d(x) = d^{p}(x) - u^{n}(x)$ 

Adapted from K. Kumar

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \end{bmatrix}$$



$$A_{PV}^{eD} = \frac{3G_{\mu}Q^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2C_{1u} - C_{1d} + Y(2C_{2u} - C_{2d})}{5} \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \\ d^p(x) = u^n(x)? \end{bmatrix}$$



#### d/u $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ 0.8 QCD fit CTEQ4M . . . . . . CTEQ4M (modified) 0.6 0.4 0.2 0 fitted range 0.6 0 0.2 0.4 0.8 X

#### Adapted from K. Kumar



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right]$$



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right] \longrightarrow$$



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} [a(x) + f(y)b(x)] \longrightarrow a(x) = \frac{u(x) + 0.91d(x)}{u(x) + 0.25d(x)}$$



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5

**PV-DIS off the proton** (hydrogen target) Very sensitive to d(x)/u(x)

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right] \longrightarrow a(x) = \frac{u(x) + 0.91d(x)}{u(x) + 0.25d(x)}$$



Adapted from K. Kumar

SU(6): d/u~1/2 Valence Quark: d/u~0 Perturbative QCD: d/u~1/5

δ*A*/*A* ~ 0.01

**PV-DIS off the proton** (hydrogen target) Very sensitive to d(x)/u(x)

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right] \longrightarrow a(x) = \frac{u(x) + 0.91d(x)}{u(x) + 0.25d(x)}$$



Adapted from K. Kumar

**PV-DIS off the proton** (hydrogen target) Very sensitive to d(x)/u(x)

$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[ a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right] \longrightarrow a(x) = \frac{u(x) + 0.91d(x)}{u(x) + 0.25d(x)}$$

### C-Odd SD Structure Functions

$$\begin{split} g_1^{\gamma} &= \frac{2}{9} (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c}) + \frac{1}{18} (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s}) \\ g_1^{\gamma Z} &= \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{9} \sin^2 \theta_W\right) (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c}) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{9} \sin^2 \theta_W\right) (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s}) \simeq \frac{1}{9} \sum_q (\Delta_q + \Delta_{\bar{q}}) \\ g_5^{\gamma Z} &= \frac{1}{6} \left[ 2 \left(\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c}\right) + \left(\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s}\right) \right] \\ g_5^{Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W\right) (\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W\right) (\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s}) \end{split}$$

Anselmino, Gambino, Kalinowski '94

### C-Odd SD Structure Functions

$$g_{1}^{\gamma} = \frac{2}{9} (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c}) + \frac{1}{18} (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s})$$

$$g_{1}^{\gamma z} = \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{9} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{9} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s}) \simeq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{q} (\Delta_{q} + \Delta_{\bar{q}})$$

$$g_{5}^{\gamma z} = \frac{1}{6} \left[ 2 (\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c}) + (\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s}) \right]$$

$$g_{5}^{\gamma z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{w} \right) (\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{w} \right) (\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s})$$

Anselmino, Gambino, Kalinowski '94

### C-Odd SD Structure Functions

$$g_{1}^{\gamma} = \frac{2}{9} (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c}) + \frac{1}{18} (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s})$$

$$g_{1}^{\gamma z} = \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{8}{9} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{u} + \Delta \bar{c})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{2}{9} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta d + \Delta s + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s}) \simeq \frac{1}{9} \sum_{q} (\Delta_{q} + \Delta_{\bar{q}})$$

$$g_{5}^{\gamma z} = \frac{1}{6} \left[2 (\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c}) + (\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s})\right]$$

$$g_{5}^{\gamma z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{u} - \Delta \bar{c})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^{2} \theta_{w}\right) (\Delta d + \Delta s - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s})$$

$$C - odd$$

Anselmino, Gambino, Kalinowski '94

### Target Spin Asymmetries

Polarized Long & trans target spin asymmetries (parity even)

$$\Delta^L \sigma_{nc}^{\ell N} (\lambda = 1) = -16\pi m_N E \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} xy(2-y) g_1^{\gamma}$$
$$\Delta^T \sigma_{nc}^{\ell N} (\lambda = 1) = -8m_N \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} \cos(\alpha - \phi) \sqrt{2xym_N E(1-y)} xy g_1^{\gamma}$$

Unpolarized Long & trans target spin asymmetry (parity odd)

$$\Delta^L \sigma_{nc}^{\ell^- N}(\langle \lambda \rangle = 0) = 16\pi m_N E \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} \eta^{\gamma z} x \left\{ y(2-y)g_A g_1^{\gamma z} + (2-2y+y^2)g_V g_5^{\gamma z} \right\}.$$

Bilenky et al '75; Anselmino et al '94

# **PVES** at an **EIC**

### Parity-violating electron scattering



# **PVES** at an **EIC**

### Parity-violating electron scattering


## **PVES** at an **EIC**

#### Parity-violating electron scattering



### Charged Current Processes

- The NuTeV Puzzle
- HERA Studies
- W Production at an EIC ? CC/NC ratios ?

## Weak Mixing in the Standard Model

#### v-nucleus deep inelastic scattering



## The NuTeV Puzzle

$$R_{v} = \sigma_{vN}^{NC} / \sigma_{vN}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + rg_{R}^{2} \qquad g_{L,R}^{2} = \left(\frac{\rho_{vN}^{NC}}{\rho_{vN}^{CC}}\right)^{2} \sum_{q} (\varepsilon_{L,R}^{q})^{2}$$
$$R_{\overline{v}} = \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{NC} / \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + r^{-1}g_{R}^{2} \qquad r = \sigma_{vN}^{CC} / \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{CC}$$

 $R_{\nu}^{\exp} - R_{\nu}^{SM} = -0.0033 \pm 0.0007$  $R_{\overline{\nu}}^{\exp} - R_{\nu}^{SM} = -0.0019 \pm 0.0016$ 

Paschos-Wolfenstein

$$R^{-} = \frac{R_{v} - rR_{\bar{v}}}{1 - r} = (1 - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{w})/2 + L$$

## The NuTeV Puzzle

$$R_{v} = \sigma_{vN}^{NC} / \sigma_{vN}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + rg_{R}^{2} \qquad g_{L,R}^{2} = \left(\frac{\rho_{vN}^{NC}}{\rho_{vN}^{CC}}\right)^{2} \sum_{q} (\varepsilon_{L,R}^{q})^{2}$$
$$R_{\overline{v}} = \sigma_{\overline{v}N}^{NC} / \sigma_{\overline{v}N}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + r^{-1}g_{R}^{2} \qquad r = \sigma_{vN}^{CC} / \sigma_{\overline{v}N}^{CC}$$

 $R_{v}^{\exp} - R_{v}^{SM} = -0.0033 \pm 0.0007$  $R_{\overline{v}}^{\exp} - R_{v}^{SM} = -0.0019 \pm 0.0016$ 

Paschos-Wolfenstein

$$R^{-} = \frac{R_{v} - rR_{\bar{v}}}{1 - r} = (1 - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{w})/2 + L$$





## The NuTeV Puzzle

$$R_{v} = \sigma_{vN}^{NC} / \sigma_{vN}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + rg_{R}^{2} \qquad g_{L,R}^{2} = \left(\frac{\rho_{vN}^{NC}}{\rho_{vN}^{CC}}\right)^{2} \sum_{q} (\varepsilon_{L,R}^{q})^{2}$$

$$R_{\overline{v}} = \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{NC} / \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{CC} = g_{L}^{2} + r^{-1}g_{R}^{2} \qquad r = \sigma_{vN}^{CC} / \sigma_{\overline{vN}}^{CC}$$

$$R_{\overline{v}}^{exp} - R_{v}^{SM} = -0.0033 \pm 0.0007$$

$$R_{\overline{v}}^{exp} - R_{v}^{SM} = -0.0019 \pm 0.0016$$
*Wrong sign*

$$Paschos-Wolfenstein$$

$$R^{-} = \frac{R_{v} - rR_{\overline{v}}}{1 - r} = (1 - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{W})/2 + L$$

## **Other New CC Physics?**

#### Low-Energy Probes

*Nuclear* & *neutron*  $\beta$ -*decay* 

$$\delta O / O^{SM} \sim 10^{-3}$$

Pion leptonic decay

 $\delta \: O \: / \: O^{SM} \thicksim 10^{-4}$ 

Polarized µ-decay

 $\delta O / O^{SM} \sim 10^{-2}$ 

HERA W production  $\delta O / O^{SM} \sim 10^{-1}$ 



A. Schoning (H1, Zeus)

### **Other New CC Physics?**

#### Low-Energy Probes

CC Structure Functions: more promising?

$$g_1^{W^-} = (\Delta u + \Delta c + \Delta \bar{d} + \Delta \bar{s})$$
  

$$g_3^{W^-} = 2x(\Delta u + \Delta c - \Delta \bar{d} - \Delta \bar{s})$$

$$2xg_5^{W^-} = g_3^{W^-}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta^L \sigma_{cc}^{\ell^{\mp}N} &= 64\pi m_N E \, \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} \, \eta^W \times \left\{ \pm xy \left[ 2 - y + \frac{xm_N}{E} (1-y) \right] g_1^{W^{\mp}} \right. \\ &+ x \left[ y^2 + (1-y) \left( 2 - \frac{xym_N}{E} \right) \right] g_5^{W^{\mp}} \right\}, \\ \Delta^T \sigma_{cc}^{\ell^{\mp}N} &= 32m_N \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} \, \eta^W \sqrt{xym_N [2(1-y)E - xym_N]} \cos(\alpha - \phi) \\ &\times x(1-y) \left( \mp g_1^{W^{\mp}} + g_5^{W^{\mp}} \right). \end{split}$$

A. Schoning (H1, Zeus)



• Precision studies and symmetry tests are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade



- Precision studies and symmetry tests are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- There may be a role for an EIC in the post-LHC era

- Precision studies and symmetry tests are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- There may be a role for an EIC in the post-LHC era
- Promising: PV Moller & PV DIS for neutral currents

- Precision studies and symmetry tests are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- There may be a role for an EIC in the post-LHC era
- *Promising: PV Moller & PV DIS for neutral currents*
- Homework: Charged Current probes -- can they complement LHC & low-energy studies?

- Precision studies and symmetry tests are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- There may be a role for an EIC in the post-LHC era
- *Promising: PV Moller & PV DIS for neutral currents*
- Homework: Charged Current probes -- can they complement LHC & low-energy studies?
- Intriguing: LFV with  $eK\tau$  conversion:  $\int Ldt \sim 10^3$  fb

• Precision studies and symmetry tests with neutrons are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade

- Precision studies and symmetry tests with neutrons are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- Physics "reach" complements and can even exceed that of colliders:  $d_n \sim 10^{-28} \text{ e-cm}$ ;  $\delta O/O_{SM} \sim 10^{-4}$

- Precision studies and symmetry tests with neutrons are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- Physics "reach" complements and can even exceed that of colliders:  $d_n \sim 10^{-28} \text{ e-cm}$ ;  $\delta O/O_{SM} \sim 10^{-4}$
- Substantial experimental and theoretical progress has set the foundation for this era of discovery

- Precision studies and symmetry tests with neutrons are poised to discovery key ingredients of the new Standard Model during the next decade
- Physics "reach" complements and can even exceed that of colliders:  $d_n \sim 10^{-28} \text{ e-cm}$ ;  $\delta O/O_{SM} \sim 10^{-4}$
- Substantial experimental and theoretical progress has set the foundation for this era of discovery
- The precision frontier is richly interdisciplinary: nuclear, particle, hadronic, atomic, cosmology



$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3 \; for \; m_{SUSY} \sim 1 \; TeV \; \& \; \delta Q_W^e / \; Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 

$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3 \; for \; m_{SUSY} \sim 1 \; TeV \; \& \; \delta Q_W^e / \; Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 

#### $0\nu\beta\beta$ sensitivity

$$\lambda'_{111} \le 2 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1/2}$$

 $\lambda_{111}$  ~ 0.06 for  $m_{SUSY}$  ~ 1 TeV

$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3 \; for \; m_{SUSY} \sim 1 \; TeV \; \& \; \delta Q_W^e / \; Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 

#### $0\nu\beta\beta$ sensitivity

$$\lambda'_{111} \le 2 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{100 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{100 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{1/2}$$

 $\lambda_{111} \sim 0.06$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

LFV Probes of RPV: 
$$\mu - > e\gamma$$

$$egin{aligned} |\lambda_{131}\lambda_{231}| &\leq 2.3 imes 10^{-4} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{\ell}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \ |\lambda_{111}'\lambda_{211}'| &\leq 7.6 imes 10^{-5} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{q}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{k31} \sim 0.15$$
 for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV &  $\delta Q_W^e / Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 

 $0\nu\beta\beta$  sensitivity

$$\lambda'_{111} \le 2 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{100 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{100 \,\mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{1/2}$$

 $\lambda_{111} \sim 0.06$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

LFV Probes of RPV:  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  LFV Probes of RPV:  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ 

$$egin{aligned} &|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{231}| \leq 2.3 imes 10^{-4} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{\ell}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \ &|\lambda_{111}'\lambda_{211}'| \leq 7.6 imes 10^{-5} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{q}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{k31} \sim 0.15$$
 for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

$$egin{aligned} &|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{231}| \leq 1.1 imes 10^{-5} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{\ell}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \ &|\lambda_{111}'\lambda_{211}'| \leq 6.0 imes 10^{-7} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{q}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

 $\lambda_{k31} \sim 0.03$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV &  $\delta Q_W^e / Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 





$$egin{aligned} |\lambda_{131}\lambda_{231}| &\leq 2.3 imes 10^{-4} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{\ell}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \ |\lambda_{111}'\lambda_{211}'| &\leq 7.6 imes 10^{-5} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{q}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_{k31} \sim 0.15$$
 for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

LFV Probes of RPV:  $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$  LFV Probes of RPV:  $\mu \rightarrow e$ 

$$egin{aligned} &|\lambda_{131}\lambda_{231}| \leq 1.1 imes 10^{-5} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{\ell}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \ &|\lambda_{111}'\lambda_{211}'| \leq 6.0 imes 10^{-7} \left(rac{m_{ ilde{q}}}{100 \; {
m GeV}}
ight)^2 \end{aligned}$$

 $\lambda_{k31} \sim 0.03$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1$  TeV

$$\frac{\delta Q_W^e}{Q_W^e} \approx -30 \,\Delta_{12k}(\tilde{e}_R^k) \approx -45 \left(\frac{100 \,GeV}{m_{\tilde{e}_R^k}}\right)^2 |\lambda_{12k}|^2$$

 $\lambda_{12k} \sim 0.3$  for  $m_{SUSY} \sim 1~TeV$  &  $\delta Q_W^e / Q_W^e \sim 5\%$ 



### General classification: $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= h_{2}^{L} \overline{u} \ell R_{2}^{L} + h_{2}^{R} \overline{q} i \tau_{2} e R_{2}^{R} + \widetilde{h}_{2} \overline{d} \ell \widetilde{R}_{2}^{L} + g_{1}^{L} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \ell S_{1}^{L} \\ &+ g_{1}^{R} \overline{u}^{c} e S_{1}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{1} \overline{d}^{c} e \widetilde{S}_{1}^{R} + g_{3} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \vec{\tau} \ell S_{3} + h_{1}^{L} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \ell U_{1\mu}^{L} \\ &+ h_{1}^{R} \overline{d} \gamma^{\mu} e U_{1\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{h}_{1} \overline{u} \gamma^{\mu} e \widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R} + h_{3} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \vec{\tau} \ell U_{3\mu} \\ &+ g_{2}^{L} \overline{d}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell V_{2\mu}^{L} + g_{2}^{R} \overline{q}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} e V_{2\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{2} \overline{u}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell \widetilde{V}_{2\mu}^{L} + \text{H.c.}, \end{split}$$

#### **Q-Weak sensitivities:**

LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$	LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$
$S_1^L$	0.57	9%	$U_{1\mu}^L$	0.26	-8%
$S_1^{\hat{R}}$	0.01	-6%	$U_{1\mu}^{\hat{R}'}$	0.56	6%
$\widetilde{S}_{1}^{R}$	0.44	-6%	$\widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R}$	0.99	25%
$S_3$	0.76	10%	$U_{3\mu}$	0.31	-4%
$R_2^L$	0.44	-13%	$V_{2\mu}^{L'}$	0.87	9%
$R_2^{\overline{R}}$	0.89	15%	$V_{2\mu}^{\overline{R}'}$	0.11	-7%
$\tilde{R}_2^L$	0.13	-4%	$\tilde{V}_{2\mu}^{L}$	0.56	14%

### General classification: $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= h_{2}^{L} \overline{u} \ell R_{2}^{L} + h_{2}^{R} \overline{q} i \tau_{2} e R_{2}^{R} + \widetilde{h}_{2} \overline{d} \ell \widetilde{R}_{2}^{L} + g_{1}^{L} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \ell S_{1}^{L} \\ &+ g_{1}^{R} \overline{u}^{c} e S_{1}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{1} \overline{d}^{c} e \widetilde{S}_{1}^{R} + g_{3} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \overrightarrow{\tau} \ell S_{3} + h_{1}^{L} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \ell U_{1\mu}^{L} \\ &+ h_{1}^{R} \overline{d} \gamma^{\mu} e U_{1\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{h}_{1} \overline{u} \gamma^{\mu} e \widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R} + h_{3} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \overrightarrow{\tau} \ell U_{3\mu} \\ &+ g_{2}^{L} \overline{d}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell V_{2\mu}^{L} + g_{2}^{R} \overline{q}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} e V_{2\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{2} \overline{u}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell \widetilde{V}_{2\mu}^{L} + \text{H.c.}, \end{split}$$

SU(5) GUT: m<sub>ν</sub> , τ<sub>prot</sub> LQ 2 15<sub>H</sub>

Dorsner & Fileviez Perez, NPB **723** (2005) 53

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$	LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$
$S_1^L$	0.57	9%	$U_{1\mu}^L$	0.26	-8%
$S_1^{\bar{R}}$	0.01	-6%	$U_{1\mu}^{\bar{R}'}$	0.56	6%
$\widetilde{S}_{1}^{R}$	0.44	-6%	$\widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R}$	0.99	25%
$S_3$	0.76	10%	$U_{3\mu}^{1\mu}$	0.31	-4%
$R_2^L$	0.44	-13%	$V_{2\mu}^{L'}$	0.87	9%
$R_2^R$	0.89	15%	$V_{2\mu}^{\overline{R}'}$	0.11	-7%
$\widetilde{R}_{2}^{L}$	0.13	-4%	$\widetilde{V}_{2,\mu}^{L}$	0.56	14%

#### **Q-Weak sensitivities:**

#### General classification: $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= h_{2}^{L} \overline{u} \ell R_{2}^{L} + h_{2}^{R} \overline{q} i \tau_{2} e R_{2}^{R} + \overline{h}_{2} \overline{d} \ell \widetilde{R}_{2}^{L} + g_{1}^{L} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \ell S_{1}^{L} \\ &+ g_{1}^{R} \overline{u}^{c} e S_{1}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{1} \overline{d}^{c} e \widetilde{S}_{1}^{R} + g_{3} \overline{q}^{c} i \tau_{2} \overrightarrow{\tau} \ell S_{3} + h_{1}^{L} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \ell U_{1\mu}^{L} \\ &+ h_{1}^{R} \overline{d} \gamma^{\mu} e U_{1\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{h}_{1} \overline{u} \gamma^{\mu} e \widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R} + h_{3} \overline{q} \gamma^{\mu} \overrightarrow{\tau} \ell U_{3\mu} \\ &+ g_{2}^{L} \overline{d}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell V_{2\mu}^{L} + g_{2}^{R} \overline{q}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} e V_{2\mu}^{R} + \widetilde{g}_{2} \overline{u}^{c} \gamma^{\mu} \ell \widetilde{V}_{2\mu}^{L} + \text{H.c.}, \end{split}$$

SU(5) GUT: m<sub>ν</sub> , τ<sub>prot</sub> LQ 2 15<sub>H</sub>

Dorsner & Fileviez Perez, NPB **723** (2005) 53

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$	LQ	Consistency	$\Delta Q_W(p)/Q_W(p)$
$S_1^L$	0.57	9%	$U_{1\mu}^L$	0.26	-8%
$S_1^{\bar{R}}$	0.01	-6%	$U_{1\mu}^{\bar{R}'}$	0.56	6%
$\tilde{S}_{1}^{R}$	0.44	-6%	$\widetilde{U}_{1\mu}^{R}$	0.99	25%
$S_3$	0.76	10%	$U_{3\mu}$	0.31	-4%
$R_2^L$	0.44	-13%	$V_{2\mu}^{L'}$	0.87	9%
$R_2^{\overline{R}}$	0.89	15%	$V_{2\mu}^{\overline{R}'}$	0.11	-7%
$\tilde{R}_2^L$	0.13	-4%	$ ilde{V}_{2\mu}^{L}$	0.56	14%

#### **Q-Weak sensitivities:**

SU(5) GUT:  $\mathcal{L}_{M} = Y_{\nu} \, \bar{5}^{T} 15_{H} 5 \supset Y_{\nu} \left[ \ell_{L}^{T} C \epsilon \Delta \ell_{L} + \sqrt{2} \bar{d}_{R} \ell_{L} \epsilon \tilde{R}_{2}^{L} \right]$ 

 $m_{v}$  via type II see saw

LQ 2 15<sub>H</sub>

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

SU(5) GUT:  $\mathcal{L}_{M} = Y_{\nu} \, \bar{5}^{T} 15_{H} 5 \supset Y_{\nu} \left[ \ell_{L}^{T} C \epsilon \Delta \ell_{L} + \sqrt{2} \bar{d}_{R} \ell_{L} \epsilon \tilde{R}_{2}^{L} \right]$ 

> $m_v$  via type II see saw

LQ 2 15<sub>H</sub>



Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

### **PV Sensitivities** $\lambda_S \leq \gamma_q \left( M_{\rm LQ} / 100 \, {\rm GeV} \right)$

Observable	Precision	$\gamma_u$	$\gamma_d$
$Q_W(Cs)$	1.3%	0.04	0.042
	0.35%	0.021	0.022
$\mathcal{R}_1$	0.3%	0.04	0.028
	0.1%	0.023	0.016
$Q_{W}(^{1}\text{H})/Q_{EM}(^{1}\text{H})$	10%	0.05	0.036
	3%	0.028	0.02
$Q_W(0^+,0)/Q_{EM}(0^+,0)$	1%	0.033	0.033
$Q_{\rm W}(e)/Q_{\rm EM}(e)$	7%		
$A_{LR}(N \rightarrow \Delta)$	1%	0.06	0.06
ã <sub>1</sub>	1%	0.14	0.20

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

### **PV Sensitivities** $\lambda_S \leq \gamma_q \left( M_{\rm LQ} / 100 \, {\rm GeV} \right)$

Observable	Precision	$\gamma_u$	$\gamma_d$
$Q_W(Cs)$	1.3%	0.04	0.042
	0.35%	0.021	0.022
$\mathcal{R}_1$	0.3%	0.04	0.028
	0.1%	0.023	0.016
$Q_{W}(^{1}\text{H})/Q_{EM}(^{1}\text{H})$	10%	0.05	0.036
	3%	0.028	0.02
$Q_W(0^+,0)/Q_{\rm EM}(0^+,0)$	1%	0.033	0.033
$Q_W(e)/Q_{\rm EM}(e)$	7%		
$A_{LR}(N \rightarrow \Delta)$	1%	0.06	0.06
$\tilde{a}_1$	1%	0.14	0.20





### **PV Sensitivities** $\lambda_S \leq \gamma_q \left( M_{\rm LQ} / 100 \, {\rm GeV} \right)$

Observable	Precision	γu	γd
$Q_W(Cs)$	1.3%	0.04	0.042
	0.35%	0.021	0.022
$\mathcal{R}_1$	0.3%	0.04	0.028
	0.1%	0.023	0.016
$Q_{W}(^{1}\text{H})/Q_{EM}(^{1}\text{H})$	10%	0.05	0.036
	3%	0.028	0.02
$Q_W(0^+,0)/Q_{EM}(0^+,0)$	1%	0.033	0.033
$Q_W(e)/Q_{EM}(e)$	7%		
$A_{LR}(N \rightarrow \Delta)$	1%	0.06	0.06
$\tilde{a}_1$	1%	0.14	0.20



$$\lambda_S = \sqrt{2} Y_{\nu}^{11}$$



### **PV Sensitivities** $\lambda_S \leq \gamma_q \left( M_{\rm LQ} / 100 \, {\rm GeV} \right)$

Observable	Precision	γu	γd
$Q_W(Cs)$	1.3%	0.04	0.042
	0.35%	0.021	0.022
$\mathcal{R}_1$	0.3%	0.04	0.028
	0.1%	0.023	0.016
$Q_{W}(^{1}H)/Q_{EM}(^{1}H)$	10%	0.05	0.036
	3%	0.028	0.02
$Q_W(0^+,0)/Q_{EM}(0^+,0)$	1%	0.033	0.033
$Q_{W}(e)/Q_{EM}(e)$	7%		
$A_{LR}(N \rightarrow \Delta)$	1%	0.06	0.06
$\tilde{a}_1$	1%	0.14	0.20



$$\lambda_S = \sqrt{2} Y_{
u}^{11}$$
  
4% Q<sub>w</sub><sup>p</sup>  
(*M*<sub>LQ</sub>=100 GeV

Fileviez Perez, Han, Li, R-M 0810.4238

## **Z** Pole Tension



W. MarcianoThe Average:  $\sin^2 \theta_w = 0.23122(17)$  $\Rightarrow m_H = 89^{+38}$ -28GeV $\Rightarrow S = -0.13 \pm 0.10$ Rules out Technicolor!Favors SUSY!

#### K. Kumar




K. Kumar









W. Marciano The Average:  $sin^2\theta_w = 0.23122(17)$  $\Rightarrow$  m<sub>H</sub> = 89 <sup>+38</sup>-28 GeV  $\Rightarrow$  S = -0.13 ± 0.10 **Rules out Technicolor! Favors SUSY!**  $A_{FB} (Z \rightarrow bb)$ (also Moller @ E158)  $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm w} = 0.2322(3)$  $m_{\rm H} = 480^{+350} - 230 \, {\rm GeV}$ S= +0.55 ± 17 **Rules out SUSY! Favors Technicolor!** 



Precision sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sub>W</sub> measurements at colliders very challenging
Neutrino scattering cannot compete statistically
No resolution of this issue in next decade