# Diffraction at an EIC -Experiments

Matthew A. C. Lamont BNL



#### Talk Outline

 Measuring diffractive events experimentally Lessons learned from HERA Forward Spectrometers, Rapidity Gaps MC studies for an EIC Datasets studied Forward Spectrometers → Large Rapidity Gaps Purity/Efficiency Acceptance issues • Summary



#### **Detection of Diffractive Events**



The schematic illustration of a diffractive DIS event. The signatures are a large rapidity gap in the event and that the final state fragments still carry the quantum numbers of the nucleus.



#### **Detection of Diffractive Events**



 $\eta_{\text{max}}$ 

**Detector Acceptance** 

0

e direction

Δŋ

**Rapidity Gap** 

p/A direction

 $n^{p/A}$ 

beam

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Other particles (if any)

The schematic illustration of a diffractive DIS event. The signatures are a large rapidity gap in the event and that the final state fragments still carry the quantum numbers of the nucleus.

Need to transform this into how this is measured in the lab so we can calculate feasibility studies and  $\eta_{\text{heam}}^{e}$  detector requirements.

#### How Diffractive Events Look to an Experiment





#### How Diffractive Events Look to an Experiment



**BROOKHAVEN** NATIONAL LABORATORY EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

#### Experimental techniques for diffractive events

- Forward Spectrometer Method
  - → Measure the final state proton/nucleus in the experiment
    - Direct measure of transferred momentum, t
    - Few events measured
- Large Rapidity Gap (LRG)/Mx Methods
  - Measure a rapidity gap between the final state proton/nucleus and most forward particle in the event
    - No direct measure of t (except for exclusive vector mesons)

#### High statistics



#### Forward Spectrometer Method

Due to the small t involved in coherent diffractive events, one needs to place a spectrometer far from the interaction point and close to the beam axis.

Conventionally, Roman Pot (Si) detectors are placed close to the beam to measure the scattered protons.

 $\theta \sim \frac{\sqrt{(t)}}{n}$ 

However, beam particles do not run parallel and their angular spread is given by:

$$\theta_{beam} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\beta^*}}$$

However, to realistically place Roman Pot detectors, we need to remember that:



$$\theta_{min} = 10 \mathrm{x} \theta_{beam}$$



#### Forward Spectrometer Method

Typical  $\beta^*$  values for an EIC:

$\beta^*_{min}$ (m)	$\theta_{min}$ (rad)		
I	0.62		
60	0.08		
200	0.04		





pp2pp experiment @ RHIC:

Designed to measure the elastic scattering of protons in the range:

 $4x | 0^{-4} < |t| < 1.3 (GeV/c)^2$ 



#### Forward Spectrometer Method: Nuclei

To achieve scattering angles larger than the minimum angular divergence, need:  $p_{min}^T \sim pA\theta_{min}$ 

Species (A)	p <sup>T</sup> min (GeV/c)		
d (2)	0.02		
Si (28)	0.22		
Cu (64)	0.51		
ln (115)	0.92		
Au (197)	I.58		
U (238)	1.90		



#### Forward Spectrometer Method: Nuclei

To achieve scattering angles larger than the minimum angular divergence, need:  $p_{min}^T \sim pA\theta_{min}$ 



Species (A)	p <sup>T</sup> min (GeV/c)		
d (2)	0.02		
Si (28)	0.22		
Cu (64)	0.51		
ln (115)	0.92		
Au (197)	I.58		
U (238)	I.90		



EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

#### Forward Spectrometer Method: Nuclei

To achieve scattering angles larger than the minimum angular divergence, need:  $p_{min}^T \sim pA\theta_{min}$ 

			Species (A)	p <sup>T</sup> min (GeV/c)
) ) ) (b) ) (b) ) (b)	STAR 200 GeV Au+Au		d (2)	0.02
ह रू <sup>102</sup> – Coherent	Si (28)	0.22		
$d_{2}^{2}$ $d_{2}^{2}$ $d_{1}^{2}$		Cu (64)	0.51	

For small t (coherent diffraction), cannot separate large nuclei from beam. Therefore, we need another method to measure diffractive events in e+A collisions



## Large Rapidity Gap (LRG) Method

The LRG method relies on the fact that for diffractive events, there is an angular region in the direction of the proton without any particle flow.

Experimentally, this is determined by measuring the rapidity of the most forward particle in the detector ( $\eta_{max}$ )





## Large Rapidity Gap (LRG) Method

The LRG method relies on the fact that for diffractive events, there is an angular region in the direction of the proton without any particle flow.

Experimentally, this is determined by measuring the rapidity of the most forward particle in the detector ( $\eta_{max}$ )





## Large Rapidity Gap (LRG) Method

The LRG method relies on the fact that for diffractive events, there is an angular region in the direction of the proton without any particle flow.

Experimentally, this is determined by measuring the rapidity of the most forward particle in the detector ( $\eta_{max}$ )



EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

NATIONAL LABORATORY

#### MC studies for an EIC

- Available Tools
  - ➡ RAPGAP MC Generator
    - Generated 10<sup>6</sup> events in both inclusive DIS and Diffractive mode for a number of prospective energies
      - 2, 5, 10, 20, 30 + 100 GeV/c
    - Advantageous that both diffractive and DIS events come from the same MC programme
- All events exist (HEP\_evt format and root TTrees) exist on the eic afs space at BNL - if you want access, contact me.



- Generated 10<sup>6</sup> inclusive DIS and 10<sup>6</sup> diffractive events for the EIC energies:
  - → 2 + 100 GeV
  - ➡ 5 + 100 GeV
  - ➡ 10 + 100 GeV
  - ➡ 20 + 100 GeV
  - → 30 + 100 GeV
- For cross-reference with HERA:
  - ➡ 30 + 820 GeV







BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY



BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY



BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY

EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

 So, in order to differentiate between the two methods, need to be able to distinguish events based on the MFP





EIC Collaboration Meeting, Berkeley, 2008: macl@bnl.gov

- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured

Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)





**EIC Collaboration Mee** 

- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured

Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)





**EIC Collaboration Mee** 

- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured

Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)





**EIC Collaboration Mee** 

- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured

Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)





- Although the separation of the rapidities looks good, this is best quantified by plotting the efficiency vs purity - a high efficiency and purity is most desirable
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured
- Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)
  - As expected from earlier plots, the efficiency and purity get better with increasing energy



**EIC Collaboration Mee** 



- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured

Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)





**EIC Collaboration Mee** 

- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured





- However, these plots are assuming that the ratio of inclusive DIS to Diffractive events is 1:1. If we again change this ratio, we get the following distributions:
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured
- Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)
- As expected, the efficiency and purity depend strongly on the ratio, but it is still acceptable







- These plots are assuming that we have full acceptance coverage in our detector. Things change rapidly if we even have a small amount of missing acceptance.
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured



- These plots are assuming that we have full acceptance coverage in our detector. Things change rapidly if we even have a small amount of missing acceptance.
- Efficiency: fraction of all Diff events measured
- Purity: fraction of Diff events measured out of all measured events (Diff +DIS)
  - Even with a small amount of rapidity missing, the Purity:Efficiency ratio falls off dramatically !!!!























• If we now apply the acceptance cuts to the HERA data, we get the following:



If we now apply the acceptance cuts to the HERA data, we get the following:



If we now apply the acceptance cuts to the HERA data, we get the following:



• If we now apply the acceptance cuts to the HERA data, we get the following:

e+p: RAPGAP: MFP in Event

• Ratios:



### Summary and Conclusions

- Diffraction in e+p collisions at an EIC:
  - Can use forward spectrometer and LRG methods
    - Measure t dependence
    - Both coherent and incoherent measurments
- Diffraction in e+A collisions at an EIC:
  - → Limited to LRG methods for large A (> <sup>28</sup>Si)
    - High statistics but no t dependence in general
      - Can measure t for exclusive v. meson production
  - ➡ Need large acceptance coverage to have both high purity and efficiency
  - Can utilise a Zero Degree Calorimeter (ZDC), in conjunction with Large Rapidity Gaps for information on coherence
    - Nothing in ZDC == coherent diffraction
    - Neutrons in ZDC == incoherent diffraction

